

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
07/158,652	02/22/1988	MARC ALIZON	PAST-010-A	3369
7	590 05/02/2003			
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,			EXAMINER FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN	
GARRETT AND DUNNER 1300 I STREET. N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 200053315				
WASHINGTO	N, DC 200033313		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	07/158,652	ALIZON ET AL.	
Omec Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Jeffrey Fredman	1634	
Period for Reply		on depondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 N	<u>farch 2003</u> .	•	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)∏ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>133-141</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	in nom consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>133-141</u> is/are rejected.			
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
Application Papers	orotaen roquirement		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by t he Exa r	miner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rec	eived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Status

- 1. Claims 1-132 were cancelled
- 2. Claims 133-141 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 133-141 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In analysis of the claims for compliance with the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, the written description guidelines note regarding genus/species situations that "Satisfactory disclosure of a ``representative number'' depends on whether one of skill in the art would recognize that the applicant was in possession of the necessary common attributes or features of the elements possessed by the members of the genus in view of the species disclosed." (See: Federal Register: December 21, 1999 (Volume 64, Number 244), revised guidelines for written description.)

Claims 131-141 is drawn to any complete HIV LTR, with or without appended sequence. This claim encompasses an enormous genus of nucleic acids which are

Art Unit: 1634

different from the single HIV LTR sequence that is disclosed in the specification. The genus includes variants for which no written description is provided in the specification. Thus, applicant has express possession of only one particular HIV LTR sequence in a genus which comprises hundreds of millions of different possibilities. Here, no common element or attributes of the sequences are disclosed, not even the presence of certain domains. No structural limitations or requirements which provide guidance on the identification of sequences which are necessary to function as an HIV LTR are provided.

It is noted in the recently decided case <u>The Regents of the University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co. 43 USPQ2d 1398 (Fed. Cir. 1997)</u> decision by the CAFC that

"A definition by function, as we have previously indicated, does not suffice to define the genus because it is only an indication of what the gene does, rather than what it is. See Fiers, 984 F.2d at 1169- 71, 25 USPQ2d at 1605- 06 (discussing Amgen). It is only a definition of a useful result rather than a definition of what achieves that result. Many such genes may achieve that result. The description requirement of the patent statute requires a description of an invention, not an indication of a result that one might achieve if one made that invention. See In re Wilder, 736 F.2d 1516, 1521, 222 USPQ 369, 372- 73 (Fed. Cir. 1984) (affirming rejection because the specification does "little more than outlin[e] goals appellants hope the claimed invention achieves and the problems the invention will hopefully ameliorate."). Accordingly, naming a type of material generally known to exist, in the absence of knowledge as to what that material consists of, is not a description of that material. "

In the current situation, the definition of the HIV LTR in claims 133-141 lacks any specific structure. This is precisely the situation of naming a type of material which is

generally known to likely exist, but except for the one sequence disclosed, fails to provide descriptive support for the generic claim to any complete HIV LTR.

It is noted that in <u>Fiers v. Sugano</u> (25 USPQ2d, 1601), the Fed. Cir. concluded that

"...if inventor is unable to envision detailed chemical structure of DNA sequence coding for specific protein, as well as method of obtaining it, then conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, that is, until after gene has been isolated...conception of any chemical substance, requires definition of that substance other than by its functional utility."

The current situation is a definition of the compound solely but its functional utility, as an HIV LTR, without any definition of the particular sequences claimed.

In the instant application, certain specific SEQ ID NOs are described. Also, in Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar (19 USPQ2d 1111, CAFC 1991), it was concluded that:

"...applicant must also convey, with reasonable clarity to those skilled in art, that applicant, as of filing date sought, was in possession of invention, with invention being, for purposes of "written description" inquiry, whatever is presently claimed."

In the application at the time of filing, there is no record or description which would demonstrate conception of any nucleic acids other than those expressly disclosed which comprise complete HIV LTR sequences. Therefore, the claims fail to meet the written description requirement by encompassing sequences which are not described in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 1634

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this

Page 5

title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act

of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application

being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily

published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35

U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 133-141 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Chang et al (U.S. Patent 6,001,977).

Chang teaches nucleic acid probes of HIV-1 sequence selected from the HIV

sequence (column 9, lines 25-62 and column 10, line 65 to column 11, line 32),

where the specific sequence is disclosed as SEQ ID NO: 4, for example

(columns 19-28).

The alignment of the Query HIV sequences of Chang and the subject sequences

of the present application in the region between nucleotides 5670 and 8132 are

presented below.

Query:

aaagagcaga 5569

Sbjct: aaagagcaga

env 1

aaagagcaga 5755 K E Q

	agacagtggcaatgagagtgaaggagaaatatcagcacttgtggagatgggggtggagat 5	
	ggggcaccatgctccttgggatgttgatgatctgtagtgctacagaaaaattgtgggtca 5	
	cagtctattatggggtacctgtgtggaaggaagcaaccactctattttgtgcatcag 5	
_	atgctaaagcatatgatacagaggtacataatgtttgggccacacatgcctgtgtaccca 5	
	cagaccccaacccacaagaagtagtattggtaaatgtgacagaaaattttaacatgtgga 5	
	aaaatgacatggtagaacagatgcatgaggatataatcagtttatgggatcaaagcctaa 5	
_	agccatgtgtaaaattaaccccactctgtgttagtttaaagtgcactgatttgaagaatg 5	
	taataccaatagtagtagcgggagaatgataatggagaaaggagagataaaaaactgctc 6	
	tttcaatatcagcacaagcataagaggtaaggtgcagaaagaa	

Query: Sbjct: env	acttgatataataccaatagataatgatactaccagctatacgttgacaagttgtaacac 6173	
	ctcagtcattacacaggcctgtccaaaggtatcctttgagccaattcccatacattattg 6233	
	tgccccggctggttttgcgattctaaaatgtaataataagacgttcaatggaacaggacc 6293	
	atgtacaaatgtcagcacagtacaatgtacacatggaattaggccagtagtatcaactca 6353	
	actgctgttaaatggcagtctggcagaagaagaggtagtaattagatctgccaatttcac 6413	
	agacaatgctaaaaccataatagtacagctgaaccaatctgtagaaattaatt	
	acccaacaacaatacaagaaaaagtatccgtatccagagaggaccagggagagcatttgt 6533	
	tacaataggaaaatatgagacaagcacattgtaacattagtagagcaaaatg 6593	
	gaataacactttaaaacagatagatagcaaattaagagaacaatttggaaataataaaac 6653	

		aataatctttaagcagtcctcaggaggggacccagaaattgtaacgcacagttttaattg 6713
env	371	aataatetttaagcaateeteaggagggaeeeagaaattgtaaegeaeagttttaattg 6914 I I F K Q S S G G D P E I V T H S F N C
Query:	6714	tggaggggaatttttctactgtaattcaacacaactgtttaatagtacttggtttaatag 6773
Sbjct: env	6915 391	tggaggggaatttttctactgtaattcaacacaactgtttaatagtacttggtttaatag 6974 G G E F F Y C N S T Q L F N S T W F N S
Query:	6774	tacttggagtactaaagggtcaaataacactgaaggaagtgacacaatcaccctcccatg 6833
Sbjct: env	6975 411	tacttggagtactgaagggtcaaataacactgaaggaagtgacacaatcacactcccatg 7034 T W S T E G S N N T E G S D T I T L P C
		cagaataaaacaaattataaacatgtggcaggaagtaggaaaagcaatgtatgcccctcc 6893
env	431	
		catcagtggacaaattagatgttcatcaaatattacagggctgctattaacaagagatgg 6953
env	451	catcagcggacaaattagatgttcatcaaatattacagggctgctattaacaagagatgg 7154 I S G Q I R C S S N I T G L L T R D G
		tggtaatagcaacaatgagtccgagatcttcagacctggaggaggagatatgagggacaa 7013
env	471	tggtaataacaacaatgggtccgagatcttcagacctggaggaggagatatgagggacaa 7214 G N N N G S E I F R P G G G D M R D N
		ttggagaagtgaattatataaaatataaagtagtaaaaattgaaccattaggagtagcacc 7073
env	491	ttggagaagtgaattatataaatataaagtagtaaaaattgaaccattaggagtagcacc 7274 W R S E L Y K Y K V V K I E P L G V A P
Query:	7074	caccaaggcaaagagaagagtggtgcagagagaaaaaagagcagtgggaataggagcttt 7133
Sbjct: env	7275 511	caccaaggcaaagagagtggtgcagagagaaaaagagcagtgggaataggagcttt 7334 T K A K R R V V Q R E K R A V G I G A L
		gttccttgggttcttgggagcagcaggaagcactatgggcgcagcgtcaatgacgctgac 7193
Sbjct: env	7335 531	gttccttgggttcttgggagcagcaggaagcactatgggcgcacggtcaatgacgctgac 7394 F L G F L G A A G S T M G A R S M T L T

	7395	ggtacaggccagacaattattgtctggtatagtgcagcagcagaacaatttgctgagggc 7253
env	551	V Q A R Q L L S G I V Q Q Q N N L L R A
		tattgaggcgcaacagcatctgttgcaactcacagtctggggcatcaagcagctccaggc 7313
env	571	I E A Q Q H L L Q L T V W G I K Q L Q A
		aagaatcctggctgtggaaagatacctaaaggatcaacagctcctgggggatttggggttg 7373
env	591	aagaatcctggctgtggaaagatacctaaaggatcaacagctcctgggnatttggggttg 7574 R I L A V E R Y L K D Q Q L L G I W G C
		ctctggaaaactcatttgcaccactgctgtgccttggaatgctagttggagtaataaatc 7433
env	611	ctctggaaaactcatttgcaccactgctgtgccttggaatgctagttggagtaataaatc 7634 S G K L I C T T A V P W N A S W S N K S
		tctggaacagatttggaataacatgacctggatggagtgggacagagaaattaacaatta 7493
env	631	tctggaacagatttggaataacatgacctggatggagtgggacagagaaattaacaatta 7694 LEQIWNNMTWMEWDREINNY
		cacaagcttaatacactccttaattgaagaatcgcaaaaccagcaagaaaagaatgaaca 7553
env	651	cacaagcttaatacattccttaattgaagaatcgcaaaaccagcaagaaaagaatgaaca 7754 T S L I H S L I E E S Q N Q Q E K N E Q
		agaattattggaattagataaatgggcaagtttgtgggaattggtttaacataacaaattg 7613
env	671	agaattattggaattagataaatgggcaagtttgtggaattggtttaacataacaaattg 7814 E L L E L D K W A S L W N W F N I T N W
		gctgtggtatataaaattattcataatgatagtaggaggcttggtaggtttaagaatagt 7673
env	691	gctgtggtatataaaaatattcataatgatagtaggaggcttggtaggtttaagaatagt 7874 LWYIKIFIMIVGGLVGLRIV
		ttttgctgtactttctgtagtgaatagagttaggcagggatattcaccattatcgtttca 7733
Sbjct: env	7875	ttttgctgtactttctatagtgaatagagttaggcagggatattcaccattatcgtttca 7934 F A V L S I V N R V R Q G Y S P L S F Q

Art Unit: 1634

```
Query: 7734 gacccacctcccaatcccgaggggacccgacaggcccgaaggaatagaagaagaaggtgg 7793
        Sbjct: 7935 gacccacctcccaaccccgaggggacccgacaggcccgaaggaatagaagaaggtgg 7994
         THLPTPRGPDRPEGIEEEGG
env
Query: 7794 agagagagacagagacagatccattcgattagtgaacggatccttagcacttatctggga 7853
        Sbjct: 7995 agagagagacagatccattcgattagtgaacggatccttagcacttatctggga 8054
          R D R D R S I R L V N G S L A L I W D
Query: 7854 cgatctgcggagcctgtgcctcttcagctaccaccgcttgagagacttactcttgattgt 7913
        Sbjct: 8055 cgatctgcggagcctgtgcctcttcagctaccaccgcttgagagacttactcttgattgt 8114
env
         DLRSLCLFSYHRLRDLLIV
Query: 7914 aacgaggattgtggaacttctgggacgcagggggtgggaagccctcaaatattggtggaa 7973
        Sbjct: 8115 aacgaggattgtggaacttctgggacgcagggggtgggaagccctcaaatattggtggaa 8174
    791 TRIVELLGRRGWEALKYWWN
env
Query: 7974 tctcctacagtattggagtcaggagctaaa
        Sbjct: 8175 tctcctacagtattggagtcaggaactaaa
env
    811
        LLQYWSQELK
```

It is noted that with regard to, for example, the sequence region claimed, there are 25 nucleotide differences between the sequences. It is noted that the art recognizes that sequencing errors occur in a range between 0.3 % and 2.5%, as evidenced by Richterich (Genome Research (1998) 8:251-259). However, these error rates are determined using technology that was significantly more advanced than that in 1984, when sequencing error rates were likely significantly higher. In the 2,462 nucleotide sequence which is the first sequence of claim 30, 25 errors would represent approximately a 1% error rate. Thus, these sequences are identical within the error range available and the anticipation rejection is proper.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed March 7, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

First, Applicant will note the written description rejection, which addresses the absence of sequence attached to the "HIV LTR" phrase.

Second, Applicant argues that the current claims are distinguished from the Chang prior art because Chang expressly recognizes that part of the LTR sequence is not completely disclosed and the claims encompass that region. In particular, Applicant relies upon the specification of Chang, which notes at page 9 that "Because there are two SstI recognition sites within the LTR of HTLV-III DNA, one LTR region is not present in the cloned DNA sequence removed from the Lambda10 vector. As a result, a small (approximately 200 bp) fragment of the HTLV-III DNA is missing."

There are two separate problems with this argument. First, applicant has not shown which specific sequence was absent in Chang. HIV has two LTR regions, a 5' LTR and a 3' LTR. A review of genbank record K03455 demonstrates that the 5' LTR has the two Sst I sites discussed by Chang while the 3' LTR does not, thus indicating that Chang teaches a complete 3' LTR. Thus, to the extent that the claim requires a complete HIV LTR, Chang teaches a complete 3' LTR. Second, with regard to the sequences disclosed, none of these overlap or comprise the missing region in the 5' LTR. The 5' LTR region is shown below, with the two SstI sites underlined and in bold.

1 tggaaggget aatteactee caacgaagae aagatateet tgatetgtgg atetaceaea
 61 cacaaggeta etteeetgat tageagaaet acacaceagg geeagggate agatateeae

Art Unit: 1634

121 tgacctttgg atggtgctac aagctagtac cagttgagcc agagaagtta gaagaagcca
181 acaaaggaga gaacaccagc ttgttacacc ctgtgagcct gcatggaatg gatgacccgg
241 agagagaagt gttagagtgg aggtttgaca gccgcctagc atttcatcac atggcccgag
301 agctgcatcc ggagtacttc aagaactgct gacatcgagc ttgctacaag ggactttccg
361 ctggggactt tccagggagg cgtggcctgg gcgggactgg ggagtggcga gccctcagat
421 cctgcatata agcagctgct ttttgcctgt actgggtctc tctggttaga ccagatctga
481 gcctgggagctctctctggcta actagggaac ccactgctta agcctcaata aagcttgcct
541 tgagtgcttc aagtagtgt tgcccgtctg ttgtgtgact ctggtaacta gagatccctc
601 agaccctttt agtcagtgtg gaaaatctct agcagtggcg cccgaacagg gacctgaaag
661 cgaaagggaa accagaggagctc

So it is this region between nucleotides 486 and 682 which is missing in Chang, according to Chang. None of applicant's claims are drawn to this region in any specific way, and since Chang teaches a complete 3' HIV LTR, Chang continues to meet the limitations of the claim.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Fredman whose telephone number is 703-308-6568. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Jeffrey Fredman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1634

April 30, 2003